

Evidence for two distinct energy scales in the Raman spectra of $\text{YBa}_2(\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Ni}_x)_3\text{O}_{6.95}$

Y. Gallais¹, A. Sacuto¹, P. Bourges², Y. Sidis², A. Forget³ and D. Colson³

¹*Laboratoire de Physique du Solide (UPR 5 CNRS) ESPCI, 10 rue Vauquelin
75231 Paris, France*

²*Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, CEA-CNRS, CE-Saclay, 91191
Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

³*Service de Physique de l'Etat Condensée, CEA-Saclay, 91191
Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We report electronic Raman scattering from Ni-substituted $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.95}$ single crystals with T_c ranging from 92.5 K to 78 K. The fully symmetrical A_{1g} channel and the B_{1g} channel which is sensitive to the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ gap maximum have been explored. The energy of the B_{1g} pair-breaking peak remains constant under Ni doping while the energy of the A_{1g} peak scales with T_c ($E_{A_{1g}}/k_B T_c = 5$). Our data show that the A_{1g} peak tracks the magnetic resonance peak observed in inelastic neutron scattering yielding a key explanation to the long-standing problem of the origin of the A_{1g} peak.

The pairing mechanism that leads to high temperature superconductivity in the cuprates is still a subject of intense controversy. Electronic Raman Scattering (ERS) has been revealed as a very powerful tool for probing selectively the electronic excitations in different regions of the Fermi surface of the cuprates by orienting the incoming and outgoing light polarizations [1]. In particular ERS results in the superconducting state of nearly stoichiometric cuprates advocate for a $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconducting gap with its nodes along the $k_x = \pm k_y$ directions and its maxima along the $k_x = 0$ and $k_y = 0$ directions as a four-leaf clover [2] [3] [4]. In the B_{1g} channel which probes the $k_x = 0$ and $k_y = 0$ directions (where the magnitude of the gap Δ_0 is maximum), the electronic pair-breaking peak (corresponding to $2\Delta_0$) is observed in all Raman spectra of optimally doped cuprates with energies between 8 and 9 $k_B T_c$ [4] [5] [6]. However in the A_{1g} channel which is sensitive to the entire Fermi surface, an unexpected, strongly intense peak well below the $2\Delta_0$ energy is also observed in all Raman spectra of cuprates near the optimally doped regime [3] [4] [5] [6]. An overview of the energy locations of the A_{1g} and B_{1g} peaks detected by ERS measurements in several cuprates with different T_c is reported in Table 1. This table reveals two distinct energy scales in the Raman spectra of cuprates. The A_{1g} peak is located between 5 and 6 $k_B T_c$ and its strong intensity challenges surprisingly the Coulomb screening present in the A_{1g} channel. In fact, existing Raman theories based on the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ model fail to reproduce the intensity, the shape and the position of the A_{1g} peak [3] [7]. Expansion of the Raman vertex to the second order of the Fermi surface harmonics has been proposed to reproduce the relative A_{1g} peak position with respect to the B_{1g} one [8]. However the major obstacle remains the Coulomb screening which prevent us from reproducing the sharpness and the strong intensity of the A_{1g} peak.

To go round this difficulty, strong mass fluctuations induced by several CuO_2 sheets has been suggested as well as a possible resonance effect of the A_{1g} Raman vertex which can enhance the A_{1g} response [9] [10]. These two last arguments are nevertheless hard to believe due to the universal character of the A_{1g} peak present in various optimally doped cuprates even for cuprates with only one single CuO_2 sheet [12] [13]. Recent theoretical calculations

suggest that the A_{1g} peak is controlled by a collective spin fluctuation mode which is identified with the $Q = (\pm\pi, \pm\pi)$ resonance observed by Inelastic Neutron Scattering (INS) in the superconducting state [14]. However no experimental proof has been provided up to now.

In this letter we report ERS experiments on Ni-substituted $YBCO$ systems where Ni impurity is used as a probe for testing the physical origin of the A_{1g} peak with respect to the B_{1g} pair-breaking peak. Our most striking result is that the A_{1g} peak, contrary to the B_{1g} one, scales with T_c with the same energy scale as the magnetic resonance peak detected by INS [15] [16] [17] [18]. A thorough examination shows that the temperature dependence of the A_{1g} peak follows the same behavior as the INS resonance peak in contrast to the B_{1g} peak. The question of a possible link between the A_{1g} peak and the INS peak will be addressed. In particular, the energy, the symmetry and the non screening of the A_{1g} peak are consistent with a spin fluctuation origin for this peak.

ERS measurements were carried out on optimally doped Ni-substituted $YBa_2Cu_3O_{6.95}$ (YBCO) single crystals. They were grown in a gold crucible using a flux technique [19]. The Ni divalent ion is believed to substitute preferentially for divalent copper in the CuO_2 plane of YBCO [20]. Therefore Ni substitution offers a particularly attractive way to reduce T_c while preserving the carrier concentration [21]. As a consequence Ni substitution in YBCO allows us to investigate the A_{1g} and B_{1g} peaks as a function of T_c near the optimal doping where the A_{1g} and B_{1g} peaks are experimentally the most easily observable. The $YBa_2(Cu_{1-x}Ni_x)_3O_{6.95}$ twinned single crystals studied have Ni contents of $x = 0$, $x = 0.01$ and $x = 0.03$ with $T_c = 92.5$ K, 88 K and 78 K respectively.

Raman measurements were performed with a double monochromator using a single channel detection and the Ar^+ and Kr^+ laser lines. The imaginary parts of the Raman susceptibilities $\chi''(\omega)$ are deduced from the raw spectra using the same procedure as in ref. [3]

The difference between the Raman responses obtained from $T = 130$ K (normal state) and $T = 35$ K (superconducting state) in the B_{1g} and A_{1g} channels as a function of Ni content are displayed in Fig. 1. The $\Delta\chi'' = \chi''_S - \chi''_N$ spectra show for both B_{1g} and A_{1g} channels a set of sharp phonons peaks (typical width at half maximum: ~ 2 meV) lying

on a strong electronic background. In the B_{1g} channel (Fig 1-a), for $x = 0$, we observe in the $\Delta\chi''$ spectrum a strong and well-defined electronic peak at 67 meV which is not present in the normal state. On the other hand, the $\Delta\chi''$ spectrum exhibits a negative intensity part below 40 meV which corresponds to a decrease of the electronic spectral weight in the superconducting state as compared to the normal one. These two observations are the signature of the opening of the superconducting gap along the $k_x = 0$ and $k_y = 0$ directions. The intensity of the B_{1g} peak (defined as the value of $\Delta\chi''(\omega)$ at the maximum of the peak) decreases with Ni content by a factor of 2 for $x = 0.01$ and 3 for $x = 0.03$. A striking feature is that the B_{1g} peak does not shift in energy with increasing Ni content. Even though the B_{1g} peak becomes broader (width at half maximum increases by a factor of 1.5) its position remains centered at 67 meV which suggests that the gap maximum is unaffected despite the introduction of $x = 0.03$ Ni impurities and the T_c decrease of 14 K.

In the A_{1g} channel (Fig 1-b), we clearly detect in the $\Delta\chi''$ spectra for $x = 0$ a broad and intense peak in the continuum centered around 40 meV. The A_{1g} peak, like the B_{1g} one, appears only in the superconducting state. However, it is much more robust than the B_{1g} peak and is still well defined even for the highest nickel concentration ($x = 0.03$) with an intensity decrease less than a factor of 1.6. In contrast with the B_{1g} peak which does not change its position, the A_{1g} peak shifts significantly to lower energy with increasing Ni content. It softens from 40 meV at $x = 0$ down to 38 meV and 33 meV for $x = 0.01$ and $x = 0.03$ respectively.

Fig. 2 displays the ERS A_{1g} and B_{1g} peaks energies as a function of T_c . Our data clearly shows that while the B_{1g} peak energy remains constant upon Ni substitution (i.e. the magnitude of the gap at $(\pi, 0)$ does not scale with T_c), the A_{1g} peak energy scales with T_c . In fact if we compare our results with INS data obtained from Ni-substituted optimally doped $YBCO$ [17] we find quantitative correspondence between the A_{1g} peak and the INS magnetic resonance. The ERS A_{1g} peak and the INS resonance energies both scale with T_c and can be plotted along the same line corresponding to $E/k_B T_c = 5$. This similarity does not only hold for $Y - 123$ system since a quite good correspondence between the energies

of the A_{1g} peak and the INS resonance is also achieved for the $Bi - 2212$ and $Tl - 2201$ systems as shown in Table 1.

To go further, we have investigated the temperature dependence of the ERS A_{1g} and B_{1g} peaks with respect to their intensity and their energy location in the pure optimally doped $YBCO$ system ($x = 0$) and performed a comparative analysis with the INS resonance. Fig. 3-a shows that the ERS B_{1g} peak intensity is linear as a function of temperature whereas the ERS A_{1g} peak and INS resonance [18] intensities decrease in the form of a step function and vanish at T_c . In addition the energy positions of the A_{1g} peak and the INS resonance remain constant with the same value under the temperature variation as shown in Fig. 3-b.

In Fig. 4 the A_{1g} responses as a function of various excitations lines are displayed. It appears that the A_{1g} peak position is not sensitive to the laser line excitations and therefore cannot be attributed to a resonance effect of the A_{1g} Raman vertex.

In the light of these new results we discuss the origin of the A_{1g} peak. On one hand, our data have clearly shown that the ERS A_{1g} and B_{1g} peaks exhibit drastically different behaviors as a function of T_c (for Ni- substituted $YBCO$ systems) but also as a function of temperature (for pure $YBCO$ system). On the other hand we have clearly established quantitative correspondences between the ERS A_{1g} peak and the INS magnetic resonance peak. These experimental results suggest that in contrast to the attribution of the B_{1g} peak as arising from the pair breaking process in the charge channel, the A_{1g} peak could be coming from a different origin. The idea of a magnetic origin for the A_{1g} peak associated with the anti-ferromagnetic (AF) spin fluctuations is very appealing for three main reasons. Firstly, because we have introduced the experimental evidence that the A_{1g} peak and the INS magnetic resonance are deeply related. Secondly, the Coulomb screening is expected to be inefficient because AF fluctuations are not coupled with the electron density. This explains why the A_{1g} peak detected in the Raman spectra is unscreened. Finally, the resonance peak has the four-fold symmetry in the k -space which corresponds to a fully symmetrical A_{1g} configuration. It follows that the magnetic resonance contribution to the Raman response will be relevant in the A_{1g} channel only [14].

In conclusion, through Ni-substitution we have clearly established the same energy scale $5 k_B T_c$ for the INS resonance and the ERS A_{1g} peak and we have shown that the ERS A_{1g} and INS resonance peaks follow the same temperature dependence. This A_{1g} energy scale is different from the one of the pair breaking process related to the B_{1g} peak. The existence of an additional energy scale in the superconducting state, observed both in ERS and INS experiments can provide the key towards an understanding of the pairing mechanism in high- T_c superconducting cuprates.

We thank P. Monod, E. Ya. Sherman, F. Venturini, T. P. Devereaux and F. Rullier-Albenque for very fruitful discussions and S. Poissonnet for the chemical analysis by electron probe.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. P. Devereaux et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 396 (1994).
- [2] Moonsoo Kang, G. Blumberg, M. V. Klein and N. N. Kolesnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4434, (1996).
- [3] A. Sacuto, J. Cayssol, D.Colson and P. Monod, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 7122 (2000).
- [4] T. Staufer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1069 (1992).
- [5] S. L. Cooper et al., Phys. Rev. B **38**, 11934 (1988).
- [6] X. K. Chen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3290 (1994).
- [7] F. Wenger and M. Käll, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 97 (1997).
- [8] T. P. Devereaux and D. Einzel, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 16336 (1995); **54**, 15547 (1996).
- [9] M. Cardona, T. Strohm and X. Zhou, Physica C **282-287**, 222 (1997).
- [10] E. Ya. Sherman and C. Ambrosch-Draxl, Solid State Comm. **115**, 669 (2000).
- [11] L. V. Gasparov et al. Phys. Rev. B **55**, 1223 (1997).
- [12] Nemetschek et al. Phys. Rev. B **47**, 3450 (1993).
- [13] C. Kendziora et al. Physica C **341-348**, 2189, (2000).
- [14] F. Venturini, U. Michelucci, T. P. Devereaux and A. P. Kampf, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 15204 (2000).
- [15] H. F. Fong et al., Phys. Rev. B **61**, 14773 (2000).
- [16] P. Dai, H. A. Mook, R. D. Hunt and F. Dogan, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 054525 (2001).
- [17] Y. Sidis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5900 (2000).
- [18] P. Bourges, L. P. Regnault, Y. Sidis and C. Vettier, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 876 (1996).

- [19] D. L. Kaiser, F. Holtzberg, B. A. Scott, T. R. McGuire, Appl. Phys. Lett. **51**,1040 (1987).
- [20] S. A. Hoffman, M. A. Castro, G. C. Follis and S. M. Durbin, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 12170 (1994).
- [21] J. Bobroff et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2117 (1997).
- [22] O. V. Misochko and G. Gu, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 11183 (1999).
- [23] H. F. Fong et al., Nature **398**, 588 (1999).
- [24] H. F. He et al. to be published.
- [25] T. E. Mason et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1604 (1996).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

FIG. 1. Raman response functions difference between the superconducting and normal states of $YBa_2(Cu_{1-x}Ni_x)_3O_{6.95}$ for $x = 0, 0.01$ and 0.03 in the B_{1g} channel (a) and A_{1g} channel (b). The 514.52 nm laser line was used. The peaks were fit to a gaussian shape in their upper parts (bold lines) to define their positions (the mathematical continuation is shown as a dotted line).

FIG. 2. Raman and neutron peak energies [17] as a function of the critical temperature T_c in $YBa_2(Cu_{1-x}Ni_x)_3O_{6.95}$ for $x = 0, 0.01$ and 0.03 . The horizontal line for the B_{1g} peak positions is just a guide to the eye while the A_{1g} peak and the neutron resonance are fitted by a straight line representing $E/k_B T_c = 5$.

FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the intensities (a) and energy positions (b) of the ERS A_{1g} , B_{1g} peaks and the INS resonance [18] in optimally doped $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-\delta}$. The INS resonance intensities (arbitrary units) have been normalized to the ERS peaks intensities. The dotted lines are guide to the eye.

FIG. 4. Raman response functions in the $A_{1g} + B_{2g}$ channel obtained from different excitation lines at $T = 35$ K in a pure optimally doped YBCO.

TABLE CAPTION

TABLE I. An overview of the peak locations of the ERS A_{1g} ($E_{A_{1g}}$) and B_{1g} ($E_{B_{1g}}$) and the INS resonance (E_R). *a* this study, *b* ref. [15], *c* ref. [22], *d* ref. [23] ($T_c = 91$ K), *e* ref. [6], *f* ref. [11], *g* ref. [24] ($T_c = 92$ K), *h* ref. [3]. * The neutron magnetic resonance peak has never been reported in *LSCO*. However, the temperature dependence of the neutron magnetic intensity observed for $x = 0.14$ at the incommensurate wave vector around $9 - 16$ meV [25] exhibits a certain similarity with that of the neutron resonance observed in the other high- T_c cuprates.